

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**UNIFYING LINGUISTIC, UNCONSCIOUS,
AND QUANTUM PARADIGMS
THE CONNECTION – SIGN-QUANTUM AND THE NOTION OF
OBJECTIVE ONTOLOGICAL SIGN OF THE UNIVERSE**

Leibniz and Bergson were some of our favorite thinkers, and one of the reasons to love Lyotard is that he unified their efforts and developed creatively their insights without changing the general direction. We seek to do the same.

How do we keep the line of thoughts of Leibniz, Bergson, and Lyotard regarding new understanding of relationships between mind and matter, due to new understanding of the leading role of time into metaphysical seeking and foundations?* One of the ways to continue and develop new understanding of “Mind-matter” relations is to seek the common point of their equivalence, within one new ontological level, which is the quantum level. Mind and Matter, which doesn’t interact on the macroscopic level, due to their different ontology, probably interacts on the microscopic-quantum level.

If it seemed impossible to Lyotard to unify Sign (Language) and Quantum, this is because no one has offered Semiotic interpretation of quantum mechanics, and Quantum interpretation of Signs. On the other hand, no one has yet offered the milieu in which Quantum and Sign meet, which is the unconscious mind.

If Sign (Semiosis) and Quantum meet at the unconscious level, then it becomes possible that the paradoxical-contradictive-symmetric and possibilistic logic of Unconscious to be equalized to paradoxical-contradictive-symmetric and possibilistic logic of quantum mechanics.

If the Quantum Realm is interpreted as the Unconscious microscopic behavior of

Nature, and if the Unconscious is structured quantum-mechanically; if Quantum behaves as a Sign, and Sign behaves as a Quantum, then it becomes possible to bridge the abyss between the human Unconscious and the Unconscious of the universe. And by this way to fill in one of the most terrible abysses between Energy and information.

Let us recall that namely separation and abyss between Energy and information give birth to human Subjectivity and consciousness. It follows that if information and energy come closer and reconcile, it will restore their common indivisible status, which can be World Unconscious.

Because if the world is divided into matter and spirit, into energy and information, it is namely due to Division caused by the birth of subjective consciousness. Conversely, on the contrary, if we reconcile and create intimacy between them, instead to antagonize Energy and information, we can restore the Objective Unconscious of the universe; though, at the price of the destruction of subjective consciousness.

But why don't we part with subjective consciousness, if the objective unconscious that we will receive in exchange will elevate us to objective consciousness, with which Human Subjectivity could be extended and equalized with World Subjectivity – and we again can remember our divine nature?

For Quantum to be interpreted as Sign, and conversely Sign to be interpreted as Quantum, it is necessary to seek the Common Ontological Mediator that will make possible their equivalence. We find that this Common Ontological Mediator, that makes Sign a quantized wave, and makes a Quantum-semiotized event bringing meaning, is Unconscious.

The conditions to reach the pursued ontological intersection between Quantum and Sign are: to change radically the line of thought of Lacan into an understanding of the Unconscious as language and semiosis - but to keep his style of ontological metaphoric cross - and instead to say “unconscious is structured as language” (Lacan) – to say *“unconscious is structured as quantum realm.”* (Materius Rosencroyzer)

On the other hand, to postulate “quantum realm is structured as a semiosis,” which mutual explanation of quantum as “Ontological Sign” and sign as *“quantum unconscious”* makes possible a new paradigm of *“quantum world is unconscious proto-psyche of the universe.”*** Thus, Unconscious, which first is deprived of language, secondarily is given back to it as quantum language and quantum semiotics.

In the book it is shown how modern thought, urged on by the unconscious efforts of Leibniz and Bergson, – as they are beautifully summarized by Lyotard – is developing in the direction of Intimacy of Mind and Matter (Mind and Energy), due to the discovery of Leibniz and Bergson that Mind and Matter are distinguished only by the coefficient of Memory – Oblivion, which they bring in itself. As Lyotard cites, “Mind is Matter that remembers its interactions, and Matter is instantaneous Mind, that doesn't remember its interactions...”

Our investigations present a more modern “Quantum-Semiotic” continuation and development of the ideas of Leibniz, Bergson, and Lyotard through seeking their Quantum-Semiotic equivalent. Unconscious perceptions of Leibniz's monads grow into the notion of

* See Lyotard, “Time and Matter” and “God and the Puppet.”

Objective Quantum Psychic Plasm of the Universe.

Here it becomes clear that the seeking continuum of Leibniz and Lyotard – “there is a continuum between instantaneous Mind of Matter and the very gathered Matter of Mind” – that continuum can be found only in the microscopic bridge and communicative channel between them, which is Quantum Bridge, or Quantum Transmutation-Trans-ontation and Semiotic Transmutation-Trans-ontation, i.e., Quantum Semiotic Mediator of this continuum. Namely due to the discovery of Semiotic behavior (interpretation) of Quantum, and Quantum behavior (interpretation) of Sign, it becomes possible that questioning “Mind-Matter-Continuum” to interpret as “Semiotic-Quantum-Continuum” or “Sign-Quantum-Continuum”.

The hypothesis for “Sign-Quantum-Continuum” which equalizes Quantum and Sign within the new notion of one “Ontological Sign,” which was first revealed by Plato as “Khora”(Plato in “Timeo”), allows the offering of the arising of a new scientific discipline, which is the science “Signo-Physics” or “Linguo-Physics”.

If the hypothesis of “Linguo-Physics” (Signo-Physics) is true – then Subjective Psychology is an illusory science – because if “Linguo-Physics” exists – it returns us toward the Objective Psyche of the Universe, in which there is no Subjective Psyche, and Subjective Psychology is fabricated science.

Not in vain, the very possibility of Subjective Psyche emerges as a consequence of incongruency and antagonism between Sign and Physics, between Semantics and Energy, between Meaning and Sound: But “Meaning” means “Information”, and “Sound” means “Energy”; hence, Prosaic Division and Prosaic Antagonism between “Sound” and “Meaning”, manifested as general distinction between Percept and Concept in itself carries and underlies the faithful division and separation between Noumenon and Phenomena, Knowledge and Being, between Idea and Energy, realized first by Plato, who first separated ideas from things, actually separates, divides, and opposes Sound to Meaning, Energy to Noema, Information to Energy, and by this first destroys Primordial Unity of “Energy” and “Information”, that Unity namely as “Mnemo-Horme”, is “Energetic Sign” or “Objective Sign”.

That is why Space and Time emerged only as a consequence of the constitution of Subjective Psyche – because here Space and Time are only a Measure of division and separation between Energy and Information. Conversely, Space and Time disappear as a consequence of the constitution of the Objective Psyche, namely because Space and Time are swallowed by the movement which again gathers together Energy and Idea (Information) into one common event, “Mnemo-Horme”, or “Energetic Eidos”, “Energetic Cogito.

• • •

Modern thought is oriented toward the direction of seeking for the ability of Memory and cogito (information) not only within our human brain but also into Nature itself and Universe – as objective intrinsic property of the Universe. From another side, this is a tendency to seek the common point between Sign and Quantum and to show how Sign and Quantum pass one into another, due to the mediators of Unconscious, Nothingness, Symmetry, and Possibilism. (Unconscious-semiotic interpretation of quantum mechanics, and quantum-semiotic interpretation of Unconscious).

The idea that Information and Cogito are not only attributes of the human brain and psyche, but that they are intrinsic to Nature itself, and belong to the objective properties of the universe, begins to possess the minds of intellectuals. With this the whole arsenal of traditional psyche and mind is exteriorized out of subjectivity into the Universe – where re-spiritualized and re-psychosized Universe are unveiled as one objective Soul.

This movement can be seen as a common tendency toward one Objective Psycho-Energetic Platonism. The new energetic Platonism is distinguished from classic Platonism (in which Idea is deprived by Energy) by that, the Energy is returned back into Idea (Form). The Energy that Plato extracts from Form and Idea in order to establish the Kingdom of pure Ideas, and which divides the Universe into two realms, Ideas and Things (Energy), today modern thought tries to return and impart within Form and Idea. By this, within Eternity, Time is returned, and all consequences of Individuality, which Time brings with itself, are returned back into Eternity and Platonic General.

Then we understand that Objective World Psyche has been destroyed, namely by such intellectual heroes as Plato, who export Energy outside of Idea, and opposing one to another, establish this antagonism between Energy and Idea, which gives birth to the Subjective Psyche by the price of destroying the Objective Psyche of Nature;

As the definition of Psyche is namely the connection (Copula) and gathering in one common event Energy and Form, Energy and Information.

Psyche = is equal to Energy plus Information.

If we recall the ancient definition of Psyche by the Gnostics:

“Psychica est Ligamentun Spiritus et Corporis”

If this statement is written as an equation:

Psychica = Spiritus + Corporis

Here “Spiritus” means “Information” and “Corporis” means “Energy”

If we return to the classic definition of Psyche –

Psyche = Energy + Information.

But by the same equation we can define the Sign – atom of Semiosis:

Sign = Signifier (Perceptum) + Signified (Conceptum).

Sign = Signifier (Energy) + Signified (Information)

You can see that the definition of Objective Psyche and the definition of Sign coincide.

But why not call Objective Psyche of the Universe “Sign” – as “Objective Sign”? As equation of Sign and equation of Objective Psyche coincide, don't they? Instead of “Objective Psyche” we can say “Objective Sign”

If Objective Psyche of the Universe is born by gathering together of Energy (Matter, Sensorial, Percept) and Information (Idea, Cogito, Meaning, Concept) – then conversely, Subjective Psyche is born exactly by the division, opposition, and antagonism of Energy and Form (Idea).

[Redacted text block containing multiple lines of obscured text and a diagram with arrows]