

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

from **THINKING THROUGH TAXIS
FUSIS AS ENERGETIC SIGN
PASSING FROM THINKING BY CONCEPTS AND PROPOSITIONS
TOWARDS THINKING BY TAXIS AND ENERGETIC SIGNS
FUSIS AS LANGUAGE**

1. Physical Event presented as Uncompleted Sign whose part as a Semantic-Information part is outside the event itself.
The Physical Event presented as Energetic Constituent of the Sign.
Primordial Fusis used to be Energetic Sign in the condition of Objective Psyche of the Universe as Energetic Eidos.
Secondary contemporary Fusis presented as uncompleted Sign.
Every Physical event could be presented as Uncompleted Sign.
Urged on by inertia of tradition we usually think through Meanings separated from their proper Energies and through Energies disconnected from their own Meanings.
Thinking through Taxis aims at traversing the road back from the Secondary “Symbolic Cogito “ (of Non-thinking Energy and Non-energizing Thought) towards Primordial “ Energetic Cogito ” of Thinking Energy and Energizing Thought., and by this means to connect again the Meanings with their own Energies, and reconnect the Energies with their own Meanings.
In order the Universe to be considered as language it is necessary the particular physical event to be a Sign or in a way to represent the Signification.
We consider the Universe a Language because every Physical Event represents that part of the Sign which is the Energetic Constituent of the Sign called Signans or Signifier or Sensory Constituent of the Sign and whose other Information – Semantic Constituent, called Signatum or Signified, is separated from the former and is out of the bounds of the Physical Event.
Therefore every Physical Event could be presented as Energetic Signifier (Signans) whose Semantic-Informational Signified (Signatum) is positioned out of its bound in

another ontological area.

Then, the series of the Physical Events will form an ontological realm of Energetic Signifiers and the Ideal Realm of Information and Semantics will be formed by the other Semantic – Conceptual – Information – Constituent of the Sign - Informational-Semantic Signifiers.

If the Sign is a unity of Perception, and Conception, and Phenomenon and known of Sound and Meaning, by Sensor and Intellect, by Sensuousness and Ideas, from Sum and Cogito, from Existence and Essence – and if Perception Phenomenon Sound, Sensuousness and Existence are united by the Energetic Constituent Signifier but Concept, Known, Meaning, Intellect, Ideas and Essences reduced by their Common denominator to Information and United by Semantic Information Constituent Signified – then the Sign as sign itself becomes Common Representative of the World and the Universe, because the Sign as Energetic – Information – Continuum represents and contains in itself the miniaturized expression of the whole Universe.

In other words the Sign is a Miniaturized World.

When the Energetic and Information Semantic Constituents of the Sign are presented together in a single Event as Unarticulated Unity, then the Physical Event brings us back to the before being of the Time, when there was no Time, Space and Elements because there existed only what we call in principle Energetic Signs.

“Energetic Signs” fix the condition of “Energetic Cogito” and “Energetic Eidos” as a state in which Energy had not been separated from Information and Semantics and the Information had not been separated from Energy yet, in which Syn-ontosis Energy thinks and Information energizes.

In this condition Energy and Information, were based in a proto-forgoing, Third and Neutral Realm, before the separation of the Spirit from the Being.

At that stage the Universe existed in a Third neutral and foregoing state, before the separation of Information and Energy as a status of Proto-hypo-Energy and Proto-hypo-Information.

This state is the state below the point of “Zero-point Meaning-Information” and “Zero Point Energy” when Energy and Information had not increased enough yet to become independent states and to become separated from one another.

They had formed a sole Unity – “Syn-ontosis-Syn-noesis – Syn-eidetos” – called “Symmetriomerii”, which had both characteristics of the Energy and the Information and which in principle is the Summary of objective Psyche of the Universe.

Not until the Hypo-Energy and the Hypo-Information increased enough and reached to a certain ontological threshold, did they gain their independence and broke the connection of the unity of Energetic Eidos and Energetic Cogito.

As a result of the Self-disintegration of the Energetic Eidos- the Realm of the Objective Psyche of the Universe” self-disintegrates and self-diverges to the two branches of the “Subjective Psyche” and “Subjective Physics”.

Therefore, the current Physical Event demonstrates us everywhere the situation of the isolated and Disintegrated Energetic Constituent of the Sign- separated, expelled, sent away and diverged from the other Information Constituent of the Sign.

Thus it becomes one Energetic isolated single signifier separated from one isolated single Semantic – Informational Signified.

2.

Each Physical Event could be presented as a Sign which if decode, could point to a certain Meaning and from there on the Whole Fusis Nature could be presented as “Language, hierarchy of languages or sign-movement systems (Signo-Taxis).

Each Physical Event could be presented as Undulation, Turbulence, Vibration or Wave.

Each Vibration and Wave could be presented as Taxis. And each type of Taxis can be inscribed as a Graphic.

The record of the Physical movement of Vibration, Wave and Taxis could be presented as Grapheme. This Grapheme – a symbolic image of the Vibration and the Wave is what the Ancient Greek Language called “Letter” or “Gramma”, or a “Word”. The Grapheme points to a certain Meaning.

There by each Physical Event is a Symptom, Semainon (Sign) or “symptom” pointing to a certain meaning. If we know the code to decode the Meaning to which this Physical Event points to, then the event becomes a Sign. This is the way to approach towards Fusis as a Language, toward Nature and towards the whole Universe – as towards the Continuum of signs and different hierarchies of Languages.

Thus it is not sufficient the Physical Event to acquire any Graphic image or a Symbolic record in order this Physical Event to become a Sign. We have to find out its code to decode the Sign, which means that we have to find out “what reactions or what attitude causes this Event-Sign in the particular system of events” which “Reactions and “Praxis” caused by the Event will present the Meaning of the Event.

Apparently here the Meaning is defined as Reaction or Respondent Praxis of the Physical Event.

Then the Code is the system of symbols or references that direct from Event-Sign towards the Reaction and Respondent Praxis, caused by the Event.

However, the directing of the Sign – Event towards its Meaning as Respondent Attitude represents the very function of the Language as such, which allows transmittance of the Information, transferring of the Information and Communication.

Examples of such presentation of Physical events as Signs and Languages are some known technologies of Electrocardiogram, Encephalogram etc, where is applied the principal of transmittance of the physical bio-fields and bio-electrical fields of the organism through their graphic images and symbolic records.

However, only the cardiologist knows what language or grammar to use to decode the symbols of the graph seen as symptoms that lead to their references – the disorder of a particular organ of the human body.

The Greek and Roman physicians assumed the medicine as Semi-logical system in which symptoms of the sick people are perceived as signs, which read correctly are referred to the diseases as their references or their meanings.

Several symptoms form a Syndrome, to which the Syntax of the verbal languages refers.

Constellation of Syndromes is the Grammar of the Disease, which Grammar was written

by the Nature in order to be read by the physician. The physician learns to construct the Mediated Proposition of Correspondence, which will lead him from the symptom Sign towards its referent – the Disease.

Then the way back in the Semi-logical Cycle from the Referent Disease towards the symptom “Sign” will be a “Therapeutic way” which will be followed by the medical students, sign and practice.

Two are the fundamental requirements, which should be fulfilled in order a certain physical event to be read as a sign and the very Nature to be seen as a Language

First requirement:

a) The Physical Event will become a Sign if its relevant meaning is found which in term transforms the physical event into energetic – semantic atoms.

b) But if different physical conditions have fulfilled the requirement to represent different semantic atoms, then the question about their “Syntax Constellation” or “Syntax Connection remains open.

c) It is not enough the physical event just to send Meaning or Respondent Behavior in order the sequence of physical events to become “Language” or “Semiotic system”.

Furthermore it is necessary the Energetic Semantic Atoms to be Syntactically connected as linguists like to say, or it means they should be “Taxis connected” as we prefer to say.

Common of Individuals Cogitation through Taxis

Therefore we say that it is necessary to go back to basic pre-modal “Cogitatio through Taxis” – Thinking through movements which means “Taxis connection” of the pre-modal Energetic Semantic Atoms.

Not before the latter becomes it possible to organize the group of the Signs related to the group of the Meanings or the Set of the Signifiers related to the Set of Signified.

In this case it should be always taken into account that it doesn't any longer concern a traditional symbolic language but the Sign here is an Energetic Sign, as long as the Signifier carries Energy, and Signified carries Semantics and Conception – because by its definition the Sign unites as a whole on one hand the unarticulated – Event – Energetic Perception as Signifier and on the Other hand the Ideal Concept as Signified.

But isn't it just an inertia of the tradition to keep talking about singular semantics, about semantics of atoms and singular concepts.

3.

Is there Semantic Atomicity?

Here it becomes extremely necessary to discuss the question of Singular Semantic and the question of the Semantic Atomicity and Singular Concepts.

Does Semantic Atomicity exist or each Semantic Atomicity is already a kind of disguised Semantic Set?

Do Singular Concepts exist or each concept is already a disguised set of concepts.

There is no Singular Concept.

Every Concept is Set.

Every Concept is Infinite Set.

Every Concept is Continuum.

There is no Finite Sets.

In volume two we stated an example that every Finite Multitude (Finite Set) is just disguised or not yet recognized Infinite Multitude (Sets), in other words that there are no Finite Sets and all the Sets are already Infinite – as long as each set is a Continuum, yet the Continuum is impossible to be reduced to Finite Set.

The proof is based on a new Demonstration and Defense of the Cantor's Continuum Hypothesis – which we consider irrefutable.

4.

Individuality Continuum

Similar logic destroys the illusion of Singularities and Identities and forbids Identities by presenting each kind of Individuality as a disguised Society.

If each Singularity is a disguised Continuum then each Non-similarity is a Continuum of Similarities, arranged in a non-similar way.

Each kind of Uniqueness is a Continuum of Universalities arranged in a unique way. Here the Unique and the Non-similar relate to the Manner and Order of the Universal and the Similar.

Answer to the question “Is there Semantic Atomicity?”

We carry the Answer, which should destroy all the Atomicity inertia concerning the hypothesis about Semantic Atomicity.

There is no Semantic Atomicity because the very Atomicity Semantic Event is not an Atom anymore. It is a Set.

There are no Semantic Monads because the conceptions themselves are not Atomicity Identities but there are Non-self Identical Lines from Constantly Self-changing Meaning.

Therefore, the Meaning itself is not Similar and is not either a Synthesis of Apprehensions – (Kant and Husserl); the Meaning is “Dys-tetic Set” and infinite line of Self-change, Self-denial, Self-otherness and being all this, the Meaning is Non-self-Identical and Self-non-Equivalent.

Hence this Non-self-Identification of the Meaning forms its images of an Infinite Line of Self-relevant Self-otherness and Infinite Set.

Thus the first axiom, which the new thinking begins with is that the Concept itself is not singular but multitude – a set, in other words that the Concept itself is a Set. The Concept is a Concept Set; or the Concept is a Semantic Net.

Second Axiom – Concepts Set or Semantic net itself id already Continuum Line or Net.

Third Axiom – As the Continuum itself (in the style of Cantor) and the Concept – Continuum as well can be relevantly understood through the new Concept of Non-self-Identical Concept and Non-self-Identical Characters.

Definition – A Concept, a Character is Self-identical only when it is equal to itself, Identical to itself and it is not identical to all the rest of the Concepts.

A Concept (a character) is Non-Self-Identical when it is not equal to itself but is equal (identical) to all the rest of the Concepts and not until it is identical to all the Concepts, then does it become equal (identical) to itself.

The Idea of Non-self-Identical can not be perceived without the Idea of Self-multitude (Self-Set) of the perception which Set is always a description of the Continuum.

The Multitude of the Concept requires it to be perceived and presented as a Net or as a Continuum.

A character, a Concept is Non-self-Identical when it being - Non- Identical, is both less and bigger than itself. Then it becomes identical to itself, not until does it include all the concepts in itself as it opposite tangible (concrete) elements of its Self Denial Net.

Forth axiom – It is necessary each Concept Set, Concept Continuum to be assumed as “Every time a new Unique Infinite Semantic Net which:

First – Every time contains in itself all the rest of the perceptions (semantics) as it its own Elements.

Second – Every time all the rest perceptions – Elements are presented as arranged in a different Unique Set.

Thus all the different Semantic Net – Conceptions differ from one another only by the different arrangement of the same Elements.

Fifth axiom: The Concept - Multitude (Net) or the Concept - Continuum is necessary to be assumed as Taxis or Semantic Taxis.

Sixth axiom: Every type of Taxis is extremely sensitive (sensitized) to Instantaneous Vibration Undulations, turbulences and disturbances of the environments.

Seventh axiom: Self-contraction of continuum or self-reduction of Continuum

Auto-complication of Continuum to Discrete Set. Auto-explication of Discrete Set to Continuum Set

We assert that the Continuum itself possesses an ability for spontaneous self-contraction.

The use of the Concept Continuum is as a rule, realized as instantaneous catastrophic concretization of its Possibilistic Semantic Net to only one (unique) Actualized Mono-Semantics, in other words – to only one (unique) actualized Mono-Semantic Concept.

The Concept – Continuum-Semantic-Set used by our linear mind is realized as intangible invisible reduction of the Concept Net to a Single Concept, and a reduction of the Net Semantic to a Single Mono-Semantic.

The Multitude (Net) of the Concept – Continuum possesses the ontology of Possibility – Probability as the very nature of the Continuum is: Possible - Probable.

Therefore, the Instantaneous catastrophic concretization of the Semantic Net of the Concept – Continuum in a singular perceived concept and is a singular perceived Mono-Semantic and takes its normal course in fact as a reduction of the multiple possibilities of the Multi-Semantics of the Singular Concept.

Each use of the multitude Concept – Set – Continuum is realized as the use of instantaneous catastrophic reduction of the multitude of the Probable – Concept – Elements – Net of its Line to one Single Actual Concepts or to one single actual Mono-Semantics.

So we find a coincidence between the reduction of the Multi-Semantics-Omni-Semantics of the Semantic Net of the Concept – Continuum to Mono-Semantics of the linear traditional thinking and the reduction of Omni-Possibility of Quantum Entanglement – Superposition of unreduced Wave Function to Mono-Possibility – actualization of Reduced Wave Function giving birth to the Perception Function as Classical World.

Hence concretization of multiple entangled quantum reality to classical mono-reality coincides with concretization of polyphonic multi-semantic entanglement of Concept – Continuum of Non-Linear Mind to Homophonic Mono-Semantic Singular Concept of Linear Mind.

So the reduction of quantum many worlds to one classical world, which is happening in the micro-world coincides with the reduction of the multiple Concept-Continuum to Singular Concept, which we perform intangibly in our Minds, instantly, rapidly shifting form Polyphonic Multi-semantic Perpendicular Simultaneous Mind to Homophonic Mono-semantic Consecutive Mind.

Therefore by Concept – Continuum we assume Quantum World and by Singular Concept we assume Classical World.

It is because the Omni-Semantics of the Concept – Continuum corresponds to and is a literal description of Omni-possibility of the Quantum Event as an Unreduced Wave Function.

That is why through the Concept-Continuum, which is an omni-semantic concept of Semantic-Net we assume the Quantum World of the unreduced wave function and through Singular Concept we assume the Classical World.

Hence, the Reduction of the Wave Function, which is a reduction of Omni-possibility to Mono-possible-actuality of the classical world, is in fact a Reduction of Omni-semantics of Concept – Continuum to Mono-Semantics of Singular Concept.

From this point of view Mono-semantics reduction of our linear Mind is a reflection of Quantum reduction of the Multi Possibility to Mono-Possibility.

The reduction of Wave Function has a literal impact on the Reduction of the Multitude of the concept Multi-semantics, Syn-semantics, Omni-semantics being reduced to the Concept Mono-semantics.

As introducing the Concept of Semantic Cluster, Semantic Chord and calling it Syn-semantics we want to describe the mirror – image reflection of the super positioned Quantum Entangled world into Super-positioned Multi-Semantic Entangled Mind.

In other words this is a reduction of Non-Linear Syn-Semantics Though to Linear Mono-Semantic Though.

That's way the Quantum Reduction coincides with the Semantic reduction

Therefore, semantic reduction of the Syn-semantics to Mono-semantics not only coincides but is a literal reflection of Quantum reduction of Syn-possibility (Omni-Possibility) to Mono-possible Actuality.

In conclusion, to the Quantum Possible World corresponds the Psychic Possible Mind,

To the Quantum Entangled multiple-world – corresponds the Entangled multiple Mind.

To the Quantum Syn-possibilism - corresponds the Psychic Syn-semantism

To the Quantum Simultaneous Instantaneous Vertical Perpendicular Many – world corresponds

the Psychic Simultaneous Instantaneous Perpendicular plural “Mind-Continuum”

To the “Mind Continuum” corresponds quantum “world-Continuum”.

To the classical Consecutive Temporal Mono-possibilistic Actualistic -world corresponds the Consecutive temporal Mind.

To the Simultaneous Timeless Syn-Ontosis quantum world corresponds the Simultaneous Timeless Syn-Eidetic Conscious Mind.

To the Instantaneous Time-Contracted micro-world corresponds the Instantaneous Time-Contracted Mind.

To the Perpendicular Time-Contraction of quantum world corresponds the Perpendicular Time- Contraction of the Conscious Mind.

This Time-Contraction which gathers together all past, present and future in One Indivisible instant builds Consciousness.

This Consciousness is conceived as Perpendicular Instantaneous Simultaneous Time, which is timeless Time. *As T. S. Eliot reminds ancient revelation "To be conscious – is not to be in Time"*.

Individuality is not a synonym of the Unique but a synonym of an unique arrangement of the Unity of Universalities.

The greater number of Universalities the Individuality carries in itself, the more it is individual. The extension of the Individuality of an Individual Characteristic increases according to the ability of this Individuality to include in itself as many other Individualities as possible.

This makes the Individuality itself a Continuum of Individualities and thus it becomes infinite Multitude (Continuum Set). By this means the Individuality itself becomes a Set, Infinite Set, so the Individuality is Individuality – Continuum.

Hence the Individual is not single, the Individual is plural, a Set; and the more the Individual is a Set, the more the Individual is Unique.

However, the coefficient of the Multitude Set of other Individualities is now a coefficient of the "General" because the "General" itself is secondary, as far as the Primordial are Individuals- where every Individual is Infinite Set, or Every Individual is a Continuum of Individuals and each of them is also Continuum

Then "General" is only Coefficient of Common of many Individuals.

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]